Case 2:14-cv-04505-LDW-GXB Document 1 Filed 07/28/14 Page 4 of 6 Page D #: 1

IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JUL 2 8 2014 🖈

BROWN, M. J.

**BROOKLYN OFFICE** 

Amy Telesford-Britto,

Plaintiff,

v.

Performant Recovery, Inc.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:

CV 14 -

4505

COMPLAINT

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Amy Telesford-Britto, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of the Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of the Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and their agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. The Plaintiff, Amy Telesford-Britto ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Brooklyn, New York, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant Performant Recovery, Inc. ("Performant"), is a California business entity with an address of 333 North Canyons Parkway, Suite 100, Livermore, California 94551,

operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Performant and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
  - 7. Performant at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

### **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

## A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to an original creditor (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Performant for collection, or Performant was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

### B. Performant Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. In or around March 2014, Performant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 13. Sometime after the initial conversation with the Plaintiff, Performant called Plaintiff's cousin, Lyril Thomas, stating that it was calling for an employment reference.

- 14. During that call to Plaintiff's cousin Performant inquired into Plaintiff's marriage status, the name and phone number of the Plaintiff's husband, and the last name used by the Plaintiff.
- 15. Additionally, Performant called Plaintiff's mother on more than one occasion in an attempt to reach Plaintiff after being told by Plaintiff's mother repeatedly that Plaintiff could not be reached at that number.

## C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 16. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 17. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 18. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

#### **COUNT I**

### VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA - 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 19. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) in that Defendants communicated with individuals other than the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff's attorney, or a credit bureau.
- 21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in

connection with the collection of a debt.

- 22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 23. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
  - 24. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

### **COUNT II**

#### INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 25. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 26. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants vis-à-vis the Plaintiff was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
- 27. The foregoing conduct constitutes the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress under the laws of the State of New York.
- 28. All acts of the Defendants and the Collectors complained of herein were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, the Defendants are subject to imposition of punitive damages.

#### **COUNT III**

## VIOLATIONS OF NEW YORK GBL § 349 ENGAGING IN UNLAWFUL DECEPTIVE PRACTICES AND ACTS

29. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.

- 30. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants and complained of herein constitute "deceptive acts and practices" within the meaning of Article 22A of the General Business Law of the State of New York, NY GBL § 349.
- 31. The Defendants willfully and knowingly engaged in conduct constituting deceptive acts and practices in violation of NY GBL§ 349.
- 32. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the foregoing acts and practices, including damages associated with, among other things, humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment caused by the Defendants.
- 33. By virtue of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages, trebled, together with reasonable attorneys' fees.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be awarded in the Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendants as follows:

- 1. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 2. Against each of the named the Defendants, awarding the Plaintiff statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- 3. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages, trebled, pursuant to NY GBL § 349;
- 4. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff recovery of the costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);

- 5. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff punitive damages in such amount as is found appropriate; and
  - 6. Granting the Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: July 21, 2014

Respectfully submitted

Sergei Lemberg (SL 6331) LEMBERG LAW L.L.C.

1100 Summer Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

Stamford, CT 06905

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424 Attorneys for Plaintiff